





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	TORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/517,042	03/02/2000	Steven J. Iseberg	12650US01 4547		
7590 01/30/2004			EXAMINER		
Mcandrews Held & Malloy LTD			LAO, LUN S		
500 West Madison Street 34th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60661		2643			
			DATE MAÎLED: 01/30/2004 3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/517,042	2	ISEBERG ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Lun-See L		2643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stative to reply within the set or extended period for reply we ply received by the Office later than three months after than the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136 nication. days, a reply w utory period will rill, by statute, c	S(a). In no ever within the statut Il apply and will cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time fory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>02 Ma</u>	rch 2000.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This a	ction is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	pted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[* S 13)[A si 33	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the priority described to pies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation described the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for the certification of the foreign land.	ocuments ocuments f the priorit al Bureau of for a list of the first in the first	have been have been by document (PCT Rule of the certification priority unsentence of the certification of the cer	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.				
14)∐ A	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 								
re	ference was included in the first sente	ence of the	specificati	on or in an Applicatio	n Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
Attachment	r(s)								
2) 🔀 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap				(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. Claim 1-40 of U.S. application 09/517,042 filed on 03/02/2000 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 20-28, 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shennib (US PAT. 6,167,138).

Consider claim 1 Shennib teaches a testing probe (see fig.1, 24) for insertion into an ear canal of a test subject;

a stimuli source (speakers 1-6) for transmitting stimuli into the ear canal;

a receiver (23) for receiving response signals from the ear canal in response to the transmitted stimuli; and

Art Unit: 2643

a processor for determining from the response signals whether at least one condition related to the position of the testing probe (24) in the ear canal is satisfied (see col.9 lines 12-52), and for automatically (see figs.26-28 by select ((automatic)) starting a hearing test if the at least one condition is satisfied (see col.24line 29-58).

Consider claims 2-3, Shennib teaches the hearing test device of the stimuli source comprises two audio speakers (see fig.1 (speaker 1-6)), and wherein the receiver comprises a microphone (23); and the stimuli comprise a plurality of pure audio tones (see col.23 lines 20-67).

Consider claim 20 Shennib teaches a method of starting a hearing test, the method employed by a hearing test device having a testing probe positioned in an ear canal of a test subject, the method comprising:

Transmitting (see fig.1, (speakers 1-6) and see figs. 5-7)) a plurality of stimuli into the ear canal;

receiving (23) a plurality of response signals from the ear canal in response to the plurality of stimuli;

performing, using at least a portion of the plurality of response signals, at least one test related to the position of the testing probe (24 and see col.9 lines 12-52) in the ear canal; and

automatically (see fig. 26 by select (automatic)) starting a hearing test if the at least one test inherently is passed (see col.24 lines 29-58).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claims 21-24, Shennib teaches the method of at least one test comprises determining whether the testing probe (see fig.1,24) inherently is stationary in the ear canal (see abstract) and the at least one test is passed when the magnitude of the plurality of response signals is inherently consistent over time (to make sure the testing probe is stationary in the ear canal and see col.17 lines 11-35) lines 38-60) and the method of consistent comprises being within approximately 2dB(see figs. 24-32); and the method of the at least one test comprises determining whether the testing probe (see fig.1, 24) is properly sealed (such as to common reference point) in the ear canal (see col.9 line 12-61).

Consider claims 25-26, Shennib teaches the method of the at least one test is passed when the difference between at least two of the plurality of response signals generated from stimuli at two different frequencies (see fig.5, 16) is inherently no greater than a predetermined value (see col.9 line 11-61); and the method of the predetermined value is approximately 25dB (see figs. 24-32).

Consider claims 27-28 Shennib teaches the method of at least one test comprises determining whether the volume (gain see figs 24-32)) of the ear canal is inherently within a predetermined range (such as thresholds an see col.9 line 11-61); and the method of at least one test is passed when the average of at least two of the plurality of response signals generated from stimuli (see fig.5, 16) at a single frequency is inherently within a predetermined range (such as thresholds and loudness levels and hearing level and see col.9 lines 11-61).

Consider claim 39, Shennib teaches the method of further comprising:

Art Unit: 2643

- (a) inherently automatically transmitting (see fig.5,16) a further plurality of stimuli into the ear canal if the at least one test is not passed;
- (b) receiving (fig.1, 23,24) a further plurality of response signals from the ear canal in response to the further plurality of stimuli;
- (c) performing, using at least the further plurality of response signals, the at least one test related to the position of the testing in the ear canal (see col.9 lines 11-61);
- (d) automatically starting (by select automatic) a hearing test if the at least one test is satisfied (see figs. 26-28); and
- (e) inherently repeating steps (a) through (d) if the at least one test is not satisfied (because automatic)(see col; 24 lines 29-58).

Consider claim 40, Shennib teaches a method of starting a hearing test, the method employed by a hearing test device having a testing probe positioned in an ear canal of a test subject, the method comprising:

- (a) determining whether the testing probe is properly positioned in the ear canal (see col.9 lines 11-61);
- (b) automatically (see figs. 26-28 by select automatic) starting a hearing test if it is determined that the testing probe is properly positioned in the ear canal (see col.24 lines 29-col.25 line 34); and
- (c) inherently automatically repeating steps (a) and (b) if it is determined that the testing probe is not properly positioned in the ear canal (because by automatic).

Application/Control Number: 09/517,042 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4-19 and 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shennib in view of Zoth (US PAT. 6,231,521).

Consider claim 4 Shennib does not clearly teaches the plurality of pure audio tones are at two alternating frequencies.

However, Zoth teaches the plurality of pure audio tones are at two alternating frequencies (see fig.4, 1,2 and col.9 line 58-col.10 line 16).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Shennib into Zoth to provide an advantage of using the preferred method is to minimize non-linear effects of the speakers.

Consider claims 5-10 Zoth teaches the two alternating (1,2) frequencies comprise approximately 300Hz and approximately 1000 Hz (see col.8 line 19-31); and the hearing test device of at least one condition comprises a temporal stability condition (see col.8 lines 38-49); and the temporal stability condition is satisfied when the response signals are inherently consistent in magnitude over a period of time (see col.4 line 10-22) and

Art Unit: 2643

the hearing test device of consistent in magnitude comprises being within approximately 2dB (5db and see col.5 line 45-col.6 line 11); and the hearing test device of at least one condition comprises a spectral response condition (see col.5 line 2-25); and the hearing test device of the spectral response condition is satisfied when the difference between at least two of the response signals generated from stimuli at two different frequencies inherently is no greater than a predetermined value, and the average of at least two of the response signals generated from stimuli at a single frequency is within a preselected range (see col.3 lines 45-61).

Consider claims 11-15, Zoth teaches the hearing test device of the predetermined value comprises approximately 25dB (see fig.4, DPOAE and col.5 line 10-col.6 line 1); and the hearing test device of the preselected range is between approximately 32dB and 50dB (see fig.4, DPOAE, and col.5 line 10-col.6 line 11); and the hearing test device of the stimuli source (see fig.4,11) comprises first and second audio speakers (12), each on a separate audio channel; and the hearing test device of the at least one condition comprises a channel balance condition (see fig.4 1 and2 (attenuators)) and the hearing test device of the channel balance condition is satisfied when the difference between at least two response signals generated in response to the transmission of at least one stimulus by each of the first and second audio speakers inherently is no greater than a predetermined value for at least one frequency (see col.10 lines 34-51).

Consider claims 16-19, Zoth teaches the hearing test device of the at least one condition comprises a plurality of conditions, and wherein the plurality of conditions comprise at least a temporal stability condition, a spectral response condition, and a

Art Unit: 2643

channel balance condition (see col.8 lines 38-60) and the hearing test device of the hearing test comprises a distortion product otoacoustic emissions test (see col.3 line 62-col.4 line 2); and the hearing test device of the predetermined value is approximately 4dB (5db and see col.5 line 63-col.6 line 11); and the hearing test device of the processor, if the at least one condition is not satisfied, automatically inherently causes the transmission of additional stimuli for re-determining from at least signals responsive to the additional stimuli whether the at least one condition has been satisfied (see col.3 line 62-col.4 line 62).

Consider claim 29, Shennib does not clearly teache the method of the predetermined range is between approximately 32dB and 50dB.

However, Zoth teaches the method of the predetermined range is between approximately 32dB and 50dB (see fig.4 DPOAE and col.5 line 15-col.6 line 11).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Shennib into Zoth to provide an advantage of using a phase analysis system described below which, by contrast with the conventional methods of frequency and amplitude analysis is suitable for automated evaluation.

Consider claims 30-34, Zoth teaches the method of at least one test comprises determining whether first and second channels(see fig.4, 1,2) are balanced (by attenuation); and the method of at least one test is passed when the difference between at least two of the plurality of response signals generated in response to at

Art Unit: 2643

least one stimulus on each of the first and second channels inherently is no greater than a predetermined value for at least one frequency (col.10 line 8-50); and the method of the predetermined value comprises approximately 4dB (see col.5 line 63-col.6 line 11); and the method of at least one test comprises a plurality of tests, and wherein the plurality of tests comprises inherently determining (by automated) whether the testing probe is stationary in the ear canal, whether the testing probe is properly sealed in the ear canal, whether the volume of the ear canal is within a predetermined range, and whether first and second channels transmitting the plurality of stimuli are balanced (see col.8 lines 38-60); and the method of the hearing test is a distortion product otoacoustic emissions test (see col.6 line 58-67).

Consider claim 33, Shennib teaches the method of at least one test comprises a plurality of tests, and wherein the plurality of tests comprises inherently determining (by automated) whether the testing probe is stationary in the ear canal, whether the testing probe is properly sealed in the ear canal, whether the volume of the ear canal is within a predetermined range, and whether first and second channels transmitting the plurality of stimuli are inherently balanced (see abstract).

Consider claims 35-38, Shennib teaches the method of further comprising displaying an indication of the volume (gain) of the ear canal (see figs 24-32); and the indication is a bar graph (see figs 24-32) and the method of further comprising displaying an indication of the amount of noise (noise or distortion) being received by the hearing test device (see figs. 24-32) and the method of the indication is a bar graph (see figs. 24-32).

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Page 10

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dolphin (US PAT 5,916,174) and Shaw (US PAT. 6,331,164) are recited to show other related the hearing test apparatus and method having automatic staring functionality.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao,Lun-See whose telephone number is (703) 305-2259 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Lao, Lun-See Patent Examiner US Patent and Trademark Office Crystal Park 2 (703305-2259

> DUC NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER